



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/779,376 | 02/07/2001 | Jian-Bing Fan | A-68929-4/DJB/RMS/DCF | 7981 |
| 75 | 590 11/04/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| DAVID A. GAY | | | LU, FRANK WEI MIN | |
| | T, WILL & EMERY A VILLAGE DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 7TH FLOOR | | | 1634 | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92122 | | | DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 09/779,376 FAN ET AL.

| Potoro the Filing of an Anneal Priof | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Frank W. Lu | 1634 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | FIRST KEPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origir than three months after the mailing da). | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, of | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 October 2005</u>. A bit the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacement. | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 11.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • * | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,9-16,19-23,26 and 30-64</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a Nord d sufficient reasons why the affiday | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | | | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | • . | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/779,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

ADVISORY ACTION

1. The proposed amendments filed on October 17, 2005 have been fully considered but will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Response to Arguments

I. In page 16, third paragraph bridging to page 20, first paragraph of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that the proposed amendments have overcome the rejections under 35 U.S. C 102 and 103.

This argument has been fully considered but it is not persuasive toward the withdrawal of the rejection because applicant's argument with respect to claims 5, 9-16, 19-23, 26, and 30-64 is moot since applicant has amended claims 5, 26, 32, 33, 39, 54, 57, and 58. The proposed amendments in claims 5, 26, 32, 33, 39, 54, 57, and 58 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For example, the phrase "wherein a capture probe of said array specifically hybridizes to said adapter sequence and is distinct from said target sequence" recited in step f) of claims 5, 32, 39, and 57, and step g) of claims 26, 33, 54, and 58 is a new limitation and is not found in claims 5, 26, 32, 33, 39, 54, 57, and 58 amended on January 14, 2005.

2. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30

Application/Control Number: 09/779,376 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

(November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (571)272-0745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Frank Lu

Primary Examiner November 2, 2005

Much in